

Physics 195b
Problem set number 12
Due 2 PM, Thursday, January 23, 2003

Notes about course:

- Homework should be turned in to the TA's mail slot on the first floor of East Bridge.
- Collaboration policy: OK to work together in small groups, and to help with each other's understanding. Best to first give problems a good try by yourself. Don't just copy someone else's work – whatever you turn in should be what you think you understand.
- There is a web page for this course, which should be referred to for the most up-to-date information. The URL:
<http://www.hep.caltech.edu/~fcp/ph195/>
- TA: Anura Abeyesinghe, anura@caltech.edu
- If you think a problem is completely trivial (and hence a waste of your time), you don't have to do it. Just write “trivial” where your solution would go, and you will get credit for it. Of course, this means you are volunteering to help the rest of the class understand it, if they don't find it so simple. . .

READING: Finish reading the “Angular Momentum” course note.

PROBLEMS:

56. Application of $SU(2)$ to nuclear physics: Isospin. Do Exercise 12 of the Angular Momentum course note. This is not a problem on angular momentum, but it demonstrates that the group theory we developed for angular momentum may be applied in a formally equivalent context. The problem statement claims that there is an attached picture. This is clearly false. You may find an appropriate level scheme via a google search (you want a level diagram for the nuclear isobars of 6 nucleons), *e.g.*, at:
http://www.tunl.duke.edu/nucldata/figures/06figs/06_is.pdf
For additional reference, you might find it of interest to look up:

F. Ajzenberg-Selove, “Energy Levels of Light Nuclei, $A = 5-10$,” *Nucl. Phys.* **A490** 1-225 (1988)

(see also <http://www.tunl.duke.edu/nucldata/fas/88AJ01.shtml>).

57. Symmetry and broken symmetry: Application of group theory to level splitting in a lattice with reduced symmetry. Do exercise 14 of the Angular Momentum course note. This is an important problem – it illustrates the power of group theoretic methods in addressing certain questions. I hope you will find it fun to do.

58. In class, we have discussed the transformation between two different types of “helicity bases”. In particular, we have considered a system of two particles, with spins j_1 and j_2 , in their CM frame.

One basis is the “spherical helicity basis”, with vectors of the form:

$$|j, m, \lambda_1, \lambda_2\rangle, \quad (131)$$

where j is the total angular momentum, m is the total angular momentum projection along the 3-axis, and λ_1, λ_2 are the helicities of the two particles. We assumed a normalization of these basis vectors such that:

$$\langle j', m', \lambda'_1, \lambda'_2 | j, m, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle = \delta_{jj'} \delta_{mm'} \delta_{\lambda_1 \lambda'_1} \delta_{\lambda_2 \lambda'_2}. \quad (132)$$

The other basis is the “plane-wave helicity basis”, with vectors of the form:

$$|\theta, \phi, \lambda_1, \lambda_2\rangle, \quad (133)$$

where θ and ϕ are the spherical polar angles of the direction of particle one. We did not specify a normalization for these basis vectors, but an obvious (and conventional) choice is:

$$\langle \theta', \phi', \lambda'_1, \lambda'_2 | \theta, \phi, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle = \delta^{(2)}(\Omega' - \Omega) \delta_{\lambda_1 \lambda'_1} \delta_{\lambda_2 \lambda'_2}, \quad (134)$$

where $d^{(2)}\Omega$ refers to the element of solid angle for particle one.

In class, we have obtained the result for the transformation between these bases in the form:

$$|\theta, \phi, \lambda_1, \lambda_2\rangle = \sum_{j,m} b_j |j, m, \lambda_1, \lambda_2\rangle D_{m\delta}^j(\phi, \theta, -\phi), \quad (135)$$

where $\delta \equiv \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$. Determine the numbers b_j .

59. Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, an alternate practical approach: Exercise 16 of the Angular Momentum course note.
60. Application to angular distribution: Exercise 18 of the Angular Momentum course note. While you may apply the formula we derived in class, I urge you to do this problem by thinking about it “from the beginning” – what should be the angular dependence of the spatial wave function? That is, I hope you will try using some “physical intuition” first, and use the formula as a check if you wish. Note that you are intended to assume that the frame is the rest frame of the spin-1 particle.