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Introduction

- Four endcap size PWO samples: 2 from BPCP 2003(?) batch (2482 & 2531) and 2 from the SIC 2004 January batch (2570 & 2572) were received on November 9. Since then they went through a thermal annealing at 200°C, and a series of two irradiations followed by recovery at 15 and 400 rad/h.

- Properties measured: transmittance, emission and excitation spectra, light output, decay kinetics and their degradation.

- Results are compared to 20 samples each from the BTCP 2001 batch of the endcap size and the SIC 2002 batch of the CEBAF size, as well as two endcap size samples from the SIC 2004 May batch.

- An update of long term recovery for two SIC samples from the 2004 May batch (2630 & 2641).
Thermal Annealing

- Carried out in a Lindberg Blue-M tube furnace with automatic control.
- Removed residual absorption from previous irradiations; Restored the sample to its initial state.
BTCP Initial Transmittances

Longitudinal transmittance along the “a” axis
Transverse transmittance approaches theoretical limit

**BTCP-2482**

- Transverse (c)
- Transverse (a)
- Longitudinal (a)

**BTCP-2531**

- Transverse (b)
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Calculated transmittance
- // c axis,
- ⊥ c axis, unpolarized light
- ⊥ c axis, e-polarized light
SIC Initial Transmittances

Longitudinal transmittance along the “c” axis
Transverse transmittance approaches theoretical limit
BTCP Initial Photo-luminescence

No red emission

BTCP-2482
After 200°C annealing
em: 423 nm  ex: 306 nm

BTCP-2531
After 200°C annealing
em: 423 nm  ex: 306 nm
SIC Initial Photo-luminescence

No red emission

![Graphs showing emission wavelengths](image-url)
BTCP Light Yield and Decay Kinetics

Average initial light output: 8.5 p.e./MeV

BTCP-2482 with T corrections (18°C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gate (ns)</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>200</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L.O.</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BTCP-2531 with T corrections (18°C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gate (ns)</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>200</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L.O.</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SIC Light Yield and Decay Kinetics

Average initial light output: 12.8 p.e./MeV
Comparison with Previous 20 Crystals

New BTCP and SIC samples are better than corresponding 2001 and 2002 batches respectively.

**BTCP-PWO**
- Mean: 69.83
- RMS: 0.9844

**SIC-PWO**
- Mean: 65.55
- RMS: 1.023

Chi-squared goodness of fit:
- BTCP-PWO: $\chi^2/ndf = 0.6664 / 1$
- SIC-PWO: $\chi^2/ndf = 0.3008 / 1$

**BTCP-PWO**
- Constant: 11.94
- Mean: 6.331
- Sigma: 0.7233

**SIC-PWO**
- Constant: 11.05
- Mean: 10.10
- Sigma: 0.9755
Caltech γ–ray Irradiation Facilities

Open 50 curie Co-60: 15 and 400 rad/h

Closed 2,000 curie Cs-137: 9k rad/h at center (10% uniformity)
Long Term Recovery under 18°C

After irradiation recovery was measured when samples were kept in a cooler at 18°C with 0.12°C variation.
Dose Rate Dependence


\[
dD = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{-a_i D_i dt + (D_i^{all} - D_i) b_i R dt\}
\]

\[
D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{b_i R D_i^{all}}{a_i + b_i R} \left[ 1 - e^{-(a_i + b_i R)t} \right] + D_i^0 e^{-(a_i + b_i R)t} \right\}
\]

- \(D_i\): color center density in units of \(m^{-1}\);
- \(D_i^0\): initial color center density;
- \(D_i^{all}\): the total density of trap related to the color center in the crystal;
- \(a_i\): recovery constant in units of \(hr^{-1}\);
- \(b_i\): damage constant in units of \(kRad^{-1}\);
- \(R\): the radiation dose rate in units of \(kRad/hr\).

\[
D_{eq} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{b_i R D_i^{all}}{a_i + b_i R}
\]

Two issues: LAL and monitor-ability
Light Attenuation Length Affects LRU


Ray-Tracing simulation for CMS PWO crystals shows no change in LRU if LAL is longer than 3.5 crystal length

Light collection efficiency, fit to a linear function of distance to the small end of the crystal, was determined with two parameters: the light collection efficiency at the middle of the crystal and the uniformity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAL (cm)</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>200</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>η_m (%)</td>
<td>9.5±.2</td>
<td>15.7±.4</td>
<td>19.2±.5</td>
<td>21.6±.6</td>
<td>26.9±.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ (%)</td>
<td>23±1</td>
<td>-4.6±.8</td>
<td>-11±1</td>
<td>-15±1</td>
<td>-15±1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Large Area Photo Detector, covering 100% back face

| η_m (%)  | .38±.04 | .74±.08 | 1.1±.1 | 1.4±.2 | 3.0±.3 |
| δ (%)    | 23±4   | -3.5±4  | -12±4  | -16±4  | -17±3  |

φ5 mm Photo Detector, covering 3.7% back face

| η_m(φ5mm) / η_m(Full) (%) | 4.0 | 4.7 | 5.7 | 6.5 | 11 |
Emission Weighted RIAC

Light attenuation length longer than 67 cm, or EWRIAC < 1.5 m\(^{-1}\), is a necessary condition

Selection required for dose rate higher than a few hundreds rad/h
Long Term Recovery (BTCP 2001 Batch)

Fast: 40% and 42% with time constant of 31 and 31 h
Slow: 22% and 24% with time constant of 1363 and 1232 h

35% unrecoverable damage

\[ \frac{T}{T_0} = 0.661 + 0.135 \left(1 - e^{-t/31.7}\right) + 0.076 \left(1 - e^{-t/1363}\right) \]

\[ \frac{T}{T_0} = 0.645 + 0.150 \left(1 - e^{-t/30.5}\right) + 0.084 \left(1 - e^{-t/1232}\right) \]
Long Term Recovery (SIC May 2004 Batch)

Fast: 25% and 25% with time constant of 65 and 43 h
Slow: 30% and 21% with time constant of 2644 and 1756 h

50% unrecoverable damage
BTCP Damage & Recovery

Monitor-ability:
- 6.6% and 5% variation at 15 rad/h
- 27% and 34% variation at 400 rad/h

BTCP-2482
L.O. = 8.2 p.e./MeV (200 ns, 18°C)

BTCP-2531
L.O. = 8.7 p.e./MeV (200 ns, 18°C)
SIC Damage & Recovery

Monitor-ability: 5% and 5.5% variation at 15 rad/h
19% and 19% variation at 400 rad/h

SIC-2570
L.O. = 13.9 p.e./MeV (200 ns, 18°C)

SIC-2572
L.O. = 11.7 p.e./MeV (200 ns, 18°C)
Comparison of Radiation Damage

BTCP samples better than corresponding 2001 batch
SIC samples consistent with corresponding 2002 batch

![Graphs showing comparison between BTCP and SIC samples](image)
Summary

- No significant difference was found between two new samples each from BTCP and SIC, except the initial light yield: SIC samples have 50% more light.

- A long term recovery study reveals 50% and 35% unrecoverable damage for SIC and BTCP samples respectively, which may be caused by the difference of color center densities between 200°C annealing and natural recovery at 18°C. This component is irrelevant to our application.

- Short term damage and recovery study shows consistent variations at 5% to 6% level in light output for samples from both vendors at 15 rad/h and 19% and 30% variation for SIC and BTCP samples at 400 rad/h respectively. The larger variation of BTCP samples under high dose rate is caused by their faster recovery.

- While two new BTCP samples are more radiation hard than the corresponding 2001 batch, two new SIC samples are consistent with the corresponding 2002 batch.