Physics 195a
Problem set number 10
Due 2 PM, Thursday, December 12, 2002

Notes about course:

• Homework should be turned in to the TA’s mail slot on the first floor of East Bridge.

• Collaboration policy: OK to work together in small groups, and to help with each other’s understanding. Best to first give problems a good try by yourself. Don’t just copy someone else’s work – whatever you turn in should be what you think you understand.

• There is a web page for this course, which should be referred to for the most up-to-date information. The URL: http://www.hep.caltech.edu/~fcp/ph195/

• TA: Anura Abeyesinghe, anura@caltech.edu

• If you think a problem is completely trivial (and hence a waste of your time), you don’t have to do it. Just write “trivial” where your solution would go, and you will get credit for it. Of course, this means you are volunteering to help the rest of the class understand it, if they don’t find it so simple…

READING: Read sections 6 through 9 of the “Angular Momentum” course note.

PROBLEMS:

47. An important lemma in group theory: Exercise 3 of the Angular Momentum course note.

48. The Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff theorem: Exercise 9 of the Angular Momentum course note. This important theorem, or a piece of it, is usually quoted without proof in quantum mechanics texts. This is perhaps not surprising, even if not really excusable – the proof is not trivial. You are given a number of hints which should help to guide you through to the desired result.

50. Oivil and Livio are up to their old tricks again (sometimes they use their undercover aliases “Alice” and “Bob”, so be leery of anyone bearing these pseudonyms. You have been warned...). As usual, they conspire to produce two-photon states. The polarization states of a photon may be described as a two-state system; we’ll work in the helicity basis here, with

\[
|R\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad |L\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}
\] (90)

The Pauli matrix \( \sigma_3 \) is just the helicity operator in this basis, if we adopt the convention that a right-handed particle has positive helicity. If the photon is directed along the positive \( z \)-axis, we also have

\[
J_z |R\rangle = +|R\rangle, \quad J_z |L\rangle = -|L\rangle.
\] (91) (92)

The Hermitian matrices \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_2 \) correspond to other measures of polarization in this state space.

The sneaky Oivil decides to have some fun with his hapless twin. He says: “I want you to measure your photons’ polarization in two ways, with operators having eigenvalues of \( \pm 1 \). Being fair, I’ll do the same thing with my photons.”

(a) Let \( O_1 = \pm 1 \) stand for the random variable corresponding to the result of Oivil’s measurement with one operator, and \( O_2 \) stand for the random variable corresponding to his measurement with his other operator. Likewise, let \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) stand for Livio’s random variables. Consider the quantity:

\[
T \equiv L_1 O_1 + L_2 O_1 + L_2 O_2 - L_1 O_2.
\] (93)

What are the possible values which random variable \( T \) can take on?

Let \( p(\ell_1, \ell_2, o_1, o_2) \) be the probability of sampling a set of values:

\[
L_1 = \ell_1; \quad L_2 = \ell_2; \quad O_1 = o_1; \quad O_2 = o_2.
\] (94)
Compute the expectation value, according to \( p(\ell_1, \ell_2, o_1, o_2) \) of \( T \):

\[
E(T) = E(L_1 O_1 + L_2 O_1 + L_2 O_2 - L_1 O_2), \tag{95}
\]

where the \( E(T) \) notation is used for “expectation value of \( T \”). You don’t know \( p(\ell_1, \ell_2, o_1, o_2) \), of course, so express your answer in terms of it. Try to find a bound on how large the expectation value can be, independent of \( p(\ell_1, \ell_2, o_1, o_2) \). Oivil is a bit too pleased in pointing this bound out to Livio…

(b) Now Oivil says, “OK, let’s try it. We’ll produce photons according to the two-photon state:

\[
|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|RR\rangle + |LL\rangle), \tag{96}
\]

where \( L \) stands for left-handed polarization, and \( R \) stands for right-handed polarization. You go measure your photons with the following operators:

\[
L_1 = \sigma_3; \quad L_2 = \sigma_1. \tag{97}
\]

Slyly, he adds, “I’ll measure mine with linear combinations of your operators:

\[
O_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\sigma_1 + \sigma_3); \quad O_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3). \tag{98}
\]

Let’s see what they might find: What is the expectation value of the operator

\[
T = L_1 O_1 + L_2 O_1 + L_2 O_2 - L_1 O_2? \tag{99}
\]

[Livio was last observed meandering down Venice Beach, shaking his head with a sad demeanor. Do you understand what his problem is, and can you cheer him up?]